

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/785,525		RUBY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Barbara Summons		2817	

All Participants:

(1) Barbara Summons.

(2) Mr. D. James Chung (Reg. No. 41,678).

Date of Interview: 5 December 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:45 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

claim 23

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Barbara Summons

BARBARA SUMMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that while rewriting objected to claim 23 in independent form, the subject matter of intervening claim 22 was inadvertently omitted. It was agreed that the Examiner would add the missing material by the accompanying examiner's amendment..